

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELVIN RAY LEWIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORINA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-01575-DAD-JDP (PS)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
THIS ACTION

(Doc. No. 11)

Plaintiff Melvin Ray Lewis, proceeding *pro se*, initiated this civil action on September 2, 2021. (Doc. No. 1.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 2, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action, failure to obey court orders, and failure to state a cognizable claim. (Doc. No. 11.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

////

////


1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
2 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the
3 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on May 2, 2024 (Doc. No. 11) are
6 adopted in full;
- 7 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute
8 this action, failure to obey court orders, and failure to state a cognizable claim; and
- 9 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 11, 2024

12 
13 DALE A. DROZD
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28